



ABN: 69 041 751 671

PH: 0437 251 358

Email: philclifton@pclifton.com

10 October 2022

Mr John Sabbouh Richard Crookes Constructions Level 3, 4 Broadcast Way Artarmon NSW 2064

RE: IN-SITU ABANDONMENT OF UNDERGROUND PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK AT THE REAR OF THE MONASTERY BUILDING AT ST JOHN OF GOD RICHMOND HOSPITAL, 177 GROSE VALE ROAD, NORTH RICHMOND NSW

Dear Sir,

We refer to our recent site inspection and discussion in regard to the in-situ abandonment of the underground petroleum storage tank that has been found in the rear area of the former monastery building following demolition of that building within the Richard Crookes Constructions site area at the St John of God Richmond Hospital at 177 Grose Vale Road, North Richmond NSW (the site).

Following demolition of the former monastery building, a small buried storage tank was found in the rear area of the building with an attached filler pipe extending along the eastern side of the former building to the front area near the road. At the end of the filler pipe is a cap fixed with a padlock.

To enable construction work to be carried out in this area of the site, the tank needs to be either abandoned in-situ or removed and disposed of. Each scenario requires that the soil in the area of the tank and filler pipe be validated to confirm that there is no contamination from the product that was stored in the tank.

The in-situ abandonment of the redundant tank is to be carried out in accordance with the requirements detailed in section 6 of the NSW Protection of the Environment Operations (Underground Petroleum Storage Systems) Regulation 2019.

This section of the regulation requires that the following actions / activities are carried out for the insitu abandonment of the redundant tank:

If a tank or UPSS has been abandoned, a duly qualified person must be engaged to:

- remove all flammable and/or combustible liquid and dispose of it appropriately, and
- remove any residual flammable vapour for safe disposal, and
- remove the tank/s and associated pipework.

If it is not reasonably practicable to remove the tank or UPSS, ensure that it is made safe by filling the tank with an inert solid material.



Page 2 of 2 10 October 2022

Examples of when it may not be reasonably practicable to remove a tank include where the removal would present an unacceptable risk of damage to:

- a supporting foundation of an existing building or structure.
- an in-service tank or UPSS.
- sub-surface pipework, services infrastructure or assets which are unrelated to the UPSS.
- a sensitive receiving environment.

In these circumstances, it is recommended that a duly qualified person (i.e. a structural or electrical engineer) confirms that it is impractical to remove the tank(s).

Prior to In-Situ Decommissioning the Abandoned Tank

Clause 23 of the Regulation requires the person responsible for the storage system to advise the relevant local council at least 30 days prior to the proposed decommissioning of the tank or storage system. For urgent or unforeseen situations, the notification must be made as soon as possible.

Consult with the local council for any requirements and/or approvals necessary for the proposed tank removal and/or remediation works.

Then, no later than 60 days following the decommissioning of a tank or UPSS, a report must be provided to the relevant local council. If remediation of the site is required, the report is required no later than 60 days after the remediation has been completed.

The attached checklist (copied from the NSW EPA guideline for implementing the Protection of the Environment Operations (Underground Petroleum Storage Systems) Regulation 2019) summarises the steps that are required to be taken for the removal of the tank and validation of the surrounding area.

If you require any further information, please contact the undersigned on 0437 251 358.

Yours faithfully

P. CLIFTON & ASSOCIATES PTY LTD

P. Olfb

Philip Clifton
Principal
BOHS IP402 Certified
SafeWork NSW Licenced Asbestos Assessor
Attachments: NSW EPA Checklist



NSW EPA CHECKLIST



10 October 2022

Appendix 6: Checklist for reporting following the decommissioning, removal or replacement of a UPSS

Where a UPSS has been decommissioned, removed or replaced a report must be provided to the local council.

The report must:

- be prepared by a duly qualified person in accordance with these guidelines
- · describe how the storage system was decommissioned
- outline how the storage site was assessed and whether any contamination was identified.

The following checklist is designed to help achieve a uniform approach to reporting on UPSS sites following decommissioning, replacement or removal of a storage system and assist compliance with clause 23 and 24 of the Regulation.

The reporting requirements outlined below are consistent with reporting requirements in Consultants Reporting on Contaminated Land: Contaminated Land Guidelines (EPA 2020).

Each section in the checklist can be considered for a UPSS site where a storage system has been decommissioned, replaced or removed. However, not all the items relating to each subject (as provided in the checklist) will be relevant to each site.

Table 7 Sample checklist for a report for the decommissioning, replacement or removal of a storage system

Report section	Required information	Included
Document control	Date, version number	
	Author and reviewer (including qualification and/or certification details), contact details, company name, ABN	
	Who commissioned the report	
Executive summary	Summary of key findings, observations and sampling results	
Introduction	Background	
	Purpose of report – i.e. whether it is for the decommissioning, replacement or removal of a storage system	
	Objectives of the sampling program	
	Scope of work – how the storage system will be decommissioned, replaced or removed	
Site information	Name, address, lot & DP number, local government area	
	Site owner's trading name, description of owner (company, operator, third party), current site use, reason for removal/decommissioning	



10 October 2022

Report section	Required information	Included
Site information (continued)	Details of person responsible for the UPSS (if different to site owner)	
	Geographic coordinates	
	Locality map	
	Current site plan with scale bar, showing the direction of north, local water drainage and other local environmentally significant features	
Site history and proposed site use	Summary of site use, zoning, proposed site use, proposed development details (if any)	
	Current and historical details of the underground storage tanks located on site	
Site condition and surrounding environment	Topography, hydrology, geology, groundwater depth and direction	
	Direction of surface drainage	
	Distance to surface water features and observations of any local sensitive environment/s	
	Groundwater bores located on or near the site	
	Summary of adjacent sites' use, zoning, off-site effects, etc.	
	Summary of any evidence of existing site contamination, including discolouration of soils, dead or dying vegetation on or near the site, staining or discolouration of surface areas, any pooling of odorous and/or tainted water in the tank pit	
Existing records	Summary of any previous equipment integrity tests (EITs), groundwater monitoring well six-monthly monitoring results and loss monitoring methods and results. Append if necessary	
Conceptual site model	Contamination sources and extent, concentration and predicted movement of contaminants	
For further guidance see Table 2(a) of the Consultants Reporting on Contaminated Land: Contaminated Land Guidelines (EPA 2020)	Refer to contaminants of concern – section 6.5.5	
	Mechanism for contamination, affected media, receptors and exposure pathways	
	Assessment of any identified data gaps	
	Sampling analysis and quality plan – methodology and justification Refer to: • quality assurance and control – section 6.5.2 • soil sampling – section 6.5.3	
	groundwater assessment – section 6.5.4	



10 October 2022

Report section	Required information	Included
Data quality objectives For further guidance see Table 2(b) of the Consultants Reporting on Contaminated Land: Contaminated Land Guidelines (EPA 2020) if more detail is required.)	Refer to data quality objectives – section 6.5.1	
	Field screening protocols	
	Photos, logs, field observations	
Validation results and discussion	Summary of results from sampling program, in a table that shows: • essential details i.e. sample identification numbers, depth, etc • sample descriptions of all media (soil, groundwater etc) • remediation criteria highlighting samples that exceed remediation criteria	
	Site plans or excavation logs of all sample locations	
	Site plan(s) showing extent of soil and/or groundwater contamination	
Remediation options (if necessary)	Summary of options available	
See Table 2(d) of the Consultants Reporting on Contaminated Land: Contaminated Land Guidelines (EPA 2020) if more detail is required)	Preferred option and rationale – Remediation Action Plan (RAP) Remediation details: • roles and responsibilities • sequence of works • work health and safety issues • site preparation • methodology and timeframes Waste management – waste classification report in accordance with EPA waste classification guidelines Documentation – including material handling and tracking	
Quality assurance and quality	Refer to section 6.5.2	
control (QA/QC)	Verification of compliance with regulatory requirements	
	Identify and discuss any ongoing monitoring (if required)	
Conclusions and	Brief summary of all findings	
recommendations	Rationale and justification in reaching the conclusions	
	Any recommendations based on the conclusions	
	A clear statement that the duly qualified person considers the subject site to be suitable for the proposed use or other nominated potential uses	
	A statement detailing all limitations and constraints on the use of the site (where applicable)	
	Recommendations for further work, if appropriate	