



PO Box 457  
Turramurra NSW 2074

ABN: 69 041 751 671

PH: 0437 251 358  
Email: [philclifton@pclifton.com](mailto:philclifton@pclifton.com)

26 October 2022

Mr John Sabbouh  
Richard Crookes Constructions  
Level 3, 4 Broadcast Way  
Artarmon NSW 2064

**RE: RESULTS OF SOIL SAMPLING FROM AROUND THE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANK AT THE REAR OF THE FORMER MONASTERY BUILDING AT ST JOHN OF GOD RICHMOND HOSPITAL, 177 GROSE VALE ROAD, NORTH RICHMOND NSW**

Dear Sir,

**INTRODUCTION**

We refer to our recent discussions regarding the potential for there to be contamination of the fill soil surrounding the redundant fuel tank that has been identified in the rear area of the former Monastery building located within the St John of God Richmond Hospital at 177 Grose Vale Road, North Richmond NSW (the site).

Whilst the tank contains a very small amount of liquid, no information exists detailing the condition of the tank and whether or not the tank is damaged / corroded and has leaked stored product into the surrounding soil. In order to ascertain the presence / absence of contamination in the fill soil surrounding the tank, soil samples were collected from each side of the tank on Thursday 20 October 2022.

**SITE OBSERVATIONS AND SOIL SAMPLING**

Fieldwork was undertaken on Thursday 20 October 2022 and comprised the excavation of test pits into the fill soil on each side of the tank to a depth of approximately 1.7 metres (the depth of the base of the tank) and collection of samples of the sandy fill soil from this depth. The soil at this depth of a loose light coloured washed sand which would have been placed in the tank area as a bed to stabilise the tank. The soil that was excavated from each of the test pits adjacent to the sides of the tank was found to have no odour or visible evidence of oil staining or other discolouration.

These soil samples were collected for laboratory analysis to ascertain the levels of hydrocarbon compounds in the fill soil which, if present, would indicate that the tank could be damaged and leaking (or previously leaked) contents into the surrounding soil.

Four soil samples were collected during this work, one from each side of the tank – 1 North, 2 East, 3 South and 4 West.

## ANALYTICAL REGIME

The soil samples collected on 20 October 2022 were placed into clean laboratory supplied sample jars fitted with Teflon lined lids that were each marked with the date, sample number and project number. The sample jars were filled with soil with no airspace remaining.

The sampled soil was collected using disposable surgical-type gloves. No tools requiring cleaning prior to or following sample collection were used for the sample collection.

Following collection, the samples were placed into a chilled esky and were delivered to Envirolab Services NATA accredited laboratory at Chatswood NSW on 20 October 2022 for analysis for the following suite of potential contaminants including:

- Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH);
- Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons (TRH);
- Monocyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (Benzene, Toluene, Ethyl benzene and Xylene: BTEX);

## RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

The results of the soil sample analysis are summarised in the following table. The NATA endorsed laboratory reports are attached to this letter report.

Analyte	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4
	North side of tank 308496-1	East side of tank 308496-2	South side of tank 308496-3	West side of tank 308496-4
	Total concentration (mg/kg)	Total Concentration (mg/kg)	Total concentration (mg/kg)	Total concentration (mg/kg)
Sample depth	1.7m BGL	1.7m BGL	1.7m BGL	1.7m BGL
Benzene	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Toluene	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Ethyl Benzene	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
Total Xylenes	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
TPH C6-9	<25	<25	<25	<25
TPH C10-40	<100	<100	910	2000
Total PAH	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Benzo(a)pyrene	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05

The results of the analysis show total petroleum hydrocarbons to be present in the soil samples taken from the south and west sides of the tank. The presence of these hydrocarbon compounds indicates that there is a leak in the lower part of the tank.



Page 3 of 3  
26 October 2022

## RECOMMENDATIONS

The results for the soil samples show there to be hydrocarbon contamination at the level of the base of the in-situ fuel tank on the south and west sides.

As the tank is redundant we recommend that it be removed and disposed of following which the soil below and surrounding the tank can be investigated to ascertain the extent of contamination. This work is to be carried out in accordance with the checklist (copied from the NSW EPA guideline for implementing the Protection of the Environment Operations (Underground Petroleum Storage Systems) Regulation 2019) which summarises the steps that are required to be taken for the removal of the tank and validation of the surrounding area as detailed in our letter dated 10 October 2022 (ref: PCA7420-2022\_LET09\_10Oct22).

The validation sampling and reporting is to be carried out by a duly qualified person with experience in the decommissioning and validation reporting for underground petroleum storage systems (UPSS) sites.

If you require any further information, please contact the undersigned on 0437 251 358.

Yours faithfully

**P. CLIFTON & ASSOCIATES PTY LTD**

Philip Clifton  
Principal

Attachment: Laboratory Report and Chain of Custody Documentation



**LABORATORY REPORT AND CHAIN OF CUSTODY DOCUMENTATION**



Envirolab Services Pty Ltd

ABN 37 112 535 645

12 Ashley St Chatswood NSW 2067

ph 02 9910 6200 fax 02 9910 6201

customerservice@envirolab.com.au

www.envirolab.com.au

## CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS 308496

### Client Details

<b>Client</b>	P Clifton & Assoc
<b>Attention</b>	Phil Clifton
<b>Address</b>	PO Box 447, Pymble Business Ctre, NSW, 2073

### Sample Details

<b>Your Reference</b>	<b>1N-4W</b>
<b>Number of Samples</b>	4 Soil
<b>Date samples received</b>	20/10/2022
<b>Date completed instructions received</b>	20/10/2022

### Analysis Details

Please refer to the following pages for results, methodology summary and quality control data.

Samples were analysed as received from the client. Results relate specifically to the samples as received.

Results are reported on a dry weight basis for solids and on an as received basis for other matrices.

**Please refer to the last page of this report for any comments relating to the results.**

### Report Details

<b>Date results requested by</b>	21/10/2022
<b>Date of Issue</b>	21/10/2022

NATA Accreditation Number 2901. This document shall not be reproduced except in full.

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing. **Tests not covered by NATA are denoted with \***

#### Results Approved By

Kyle Gavrily, Senior Chemist

#### Authorised By

Nancy Zhang, Laboratory Manager

vTRH(C6-C10)/BTEXN in Soil					
Our Reference		308496-1	308496-2	308496-3	308496-4
Your Reference	UNITS	1N	2E	3S	4W
Depth		1.7m	1.7m	1.7m	1.7m
Date Sampled		20/10/2022	20/10/2022	20/10/2022	20/10/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/10/2022	20/10/2022	20/10/2022	20/10/2022
Date analysed	-	21/10/2022	21/10/2022	21/10/2022	21/10/2022
TRH C <sub>6</sub> - C <sub>9</sub>	mg/kg	<25	<25	<25	<25
TRH C <sub>6</sub> - C <sub>10</sub>	mg/kg	<25	<25	<25	<25
vTPH C <sub>6</sub> - C <sub>10</sub> less BTEX (F1)	mg/kg	<25	<25	<25	<25
Benzene	mg/kg	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Toluene	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1
m+p-xylene	mg/kg	<2	<2	<2	<2
o-Xylene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1
Naphthalene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1
Total +ve Xylenes	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1
Surrogate aaa-Trifluorotoluene	%	123	127	117	115

svTRH (C10-C40) in Soil					
Our Reference		308496-1	308496-2	308496-3	308496-4
Your Reference	UNITS	1N	2E	3S	4W
Depth		1.7m	1.7m	1.7m	1.7m
Date Sampled		20/10/2022	20/10/2022	20/10/2022	20/10/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/10/2022	20/10/2022	20/10/2022	20/10/2022
Date analysed	-	20/10/2022	20/10/2022	20/10/2022	20/10/2022
TRH C <sub>10</sub> - C <sub>14</sub>	mg/kg	<50	<50	200	350
TRH C <sub>15</sub> - C <sub>28</sub>	mg/kg	<100	<100	700	1,600
TRH C <sub>29</sub> - C <sub>36</sub>	mg/kg	<100	<100	<100	<100
Total +ve TRH (C10-C36)	mg/kg	<50	<50	900	2,000
TRH >C <sub>10</sub> -C <sub>16</sub>	mg/kg	<50	<50	570	1,200
TRH >C <sub>10</sub> - C <sub>16</sub> less Naphthalene (F2)	mg/kg	<50	<50	570	1,200
TRH >C <sub>16</sub> -C <sub>34</sub>	mg/kg	<100	<100	340	790
TRH >C <sub>34</sub> -C <sub>40</sub>	mg/kg	<100	<100	<100	<100
Total +ve TRH (>C10-C40)	mg/kg	<50	<50	910	2,000
Surrogate o-Terphenyl	%	79	89	#	#

PAHs in Soil					
Our Reference		308496-1	308496-2	308496-3	308496-4
Your Reference	UNITS	1N	2E	3S	4W
Depth		1.7m	1.7m	1.7m	1.7m
Date Sampled		20/10/2022	20/10/2022	20/10/2022	20/10/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/10/2022	20/10/2022	20/10/2022	20/10/2022
Date analysed	-	21/10/2022	21/10/2022	21/10/2022	21/10/2022
Naphthalene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Fluorene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Anthracene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Pyrene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Chrysene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Benzo(b,j+k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Total +ve PAH's	mg/kg	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ calc (zero)	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ calc(half)	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ calc(PQL)	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Surrogate <i>p</i> -Terphenyl-d14	%	72	73	71	77



**Client Reference: 1N-4W**

Moisture					
Our Reference		308496-1	308496-2	308496-3	308496-4
Your Reference	UNITS	1N	2E	3S	4W
Depth		1.7m	1.7m	1.7m	1.7m
Date Sampled		20/10/2022	20/10/2022	20/10/2022	20/10/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	20/10/2022	20/10/2022	20/10/2022	20/10/2022
Date analysed	-	21/10/2022	21/10/2022	21/10/2022	21/10/2022
Moisture	%	18	18	18	18

**Client Reference: 1N-4W**

Method ID	Methodology Summary
<b>Inorg-008</b>	Moisture content determined by heating at 105+/-5 °C for a minimum of 12 hours.
<b>Org-020</b>	Soil samples are extracted with Dichloromethane/Acetone and waters with Dichloromethane and analysed by GC-FID. F2 = (>C10-C16)-Naphthalene as per NEPM B1 Guideline on Investigation Levels for Soil and Groundwater (HSLs Tables 1A (3, 4)). Note Naphthalene is determined from the VOC analysis.
<b>Org-020</b>	Soil samples are extracted with Dichloromethane/Acetone and waters with Dichloromethane and analysed by GC-FID.  F2 = (>C10-C16)-Naphthalene as per NEPM B1 Guideline on Investigation Levels for Soil and Groundwater (HSLs Tables 1A (3, 4)). Note Naphthalene is determined from the VOC analysis.  Note, the Total +ve TRH PQL is reflective of the lowest individual PQL and is therefore "Total +ve TRH" is simply a sum of the positive individual TRH fractions (>C10-C40).
<b>Org-022/025</b>	Soil samples are extracted with Dichloromethane/Acetone and waters with Dichloromethane and analysed by GC-MS and/or GC-MS/MS. Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ as per NEPM B1 Guideline on Investigation Levels for Soil and Groundwater - 2013. For soil results:- 1. 'EQ PQL' values are assuming all contributing PAHs reported as <PQL are actually at the PQL. This is the most conservative approach and can give false positive TEQs given that PAHs that contribute to the TEQ calculation may not be present. 2. 'EQ zero' values are assuming all contributing PAHs reported as <PQL are zero. This is the least conservative approach and is more susceptible to false negative TEQs when PAHs that contribute to the TEQ calculation are present but below PQL. 3. 'EQ half PQL' values are assuming all contributing PAHs reported as <PQL are half the stipulated PQL. Hence a mid-point between the most and least conservative approaches above. Note, the Total +ve PAHs PQL is reflective of the lowest individual PQL and is therefore "Total +ve PAHs" is simply a sum of the positive individual PAHs.
<b>Org-023</b>	Soil samples are extracted with methanol and spiked into water prior to analysing by purge and trap GC-MS.
<b>Org-023</b>	Soil samples are extracted with methanol and spiked into water prior to analysing by purge and trap GC-MS. Water samples are analysed directly by purge and trap GC-MS. F1 = (C6-C10)-BTEX as per NEPM B1 Guideline on Investigation Levels for Soil and Groundwater.
<b>Org-023</b>	Soil samples are extracted with methanol and spiked into water prior to analysing by purge and trap GC-MS. Water samples are analysed directly by purge and trap GC-MS. F1 = (C6-C10)-BTEX as per NEPM B1 Guideline on Investigation Levels for Soil and Groundwater. Note, the Total +ve Xylene PQL is reflective of the lowest individual PQL and is therefore "Total +ve Xylenes" is simply a sum of the positive individual Xylenes.

Client Reference: 1N-4W

QUALITY CONTROL: vTRH(C6-C10)/BTEXN in Soil					Duplicate			Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-18	[NT]
Date extracted	-			20/10/2022	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	20/10/2022	[NT]
Date analysed	-			21/10/2022	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	21/10/2022	[NT]
TRH C <sub>6</sub> - C <sub>9</sub>	mg/kg	25	Org-023	<25	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	94	[NT]
TRH C <sub>6</sub> - C <sub>10</sub>	mg/kg	25	Org-023	<25	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	94	[NT]
Benzene	mg/kg	0.2	Org-023	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	102	[NT]
Toluene	mg/kg	0.5	Org-023	<0.5	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	98	[NT]
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	90	[NT]
m+p-xylene	mg/kg	2	Org-023	<2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	90	[NT]
o-Xylene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	109	[NT]
Naphthalene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate aaa-Trifluorotoluene	%		Org-023	126	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	129	[NT]

Client Reference: 1N-4W

QUALITY CONTROL: svTRH (C10-C40) in Soil					Duplicate			Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-18	[NT]
Date extracted	-			20/10/2022	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	20/10/2022	[NT]
Date analysed	-			20/10/2022	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	20/10/2022	[NT]
TRH C <sub>10</sub> - C <sub>14</sub>	mg/kg	50	Org-020	<50	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	112	[NT]
TRH C <sub>15</sub> - C <sub>28</sub>	mg/kg	100	Org-020	<100	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	86	[NT]
TRH C <sub>29</sub> - C <sub>36</sub>	mg/kg	100	Org-020	<100	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	87	[NT]
TRH >C <sub>10</sub> -C <sub>16</sub>	mg/kg	50	Org-020	<50	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	112	[NT]
TRH >C <sub>16</sub> -C <sub>34</sub>	mg/kg	100	Org-020	<100	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	86	[NT]
TRH >C <sub>34</sub> -C <sub>40</sub>	mg/kg	100	Org-020	<100	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	87	[NT]
Surrogate o-Terphenyl	%		Org-020	81	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	85	[NT]

Client Reference: 1N-4W

QUALITY CONTROL: PAHs in Soil				Duplicate				Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-18	[NT]
Date extracted	-			20/10/2022	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	20/10/2022	[NT]
Date analysed	-			21/10/2022	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	21/10/2022	[NT]
Naphthalene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	95	[NT]
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	97	[NT]
Fluorene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	95	[NT]
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	98	[NT]
Anthracene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	92	[NT]
Pyrene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	97	[NT]
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
Chrysene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	74	[NT]
Benzo(b,j+k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	0.05	Org-022/025	<0.05	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	72	[NT]
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate p-Terphenyl-d14	%		Org-022/025	75	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	71	[NT]

## Result Definitions

<b>NT</b>	Not tested
<b>NA</b>	Test not required
<b>INS</b>	Insufficient sample for this test
<b>PQL</b>	Practical Quantitation Limit
<b>&lt;</b>	Less than
<b>&gt;</b>	Greater than
<b>RPD</b>	Relative Percent Difference
<b>LCS</b>	Laboratory Control Sample
<b>NS</b>	Not specified
<b>NEPM</b>	National Environmental Protection Measure
<b>NR</b>	Not Reported

## Quality Control Definitions

<b>Blank</b>	This is the component of the analytical signal which is not derived from the sample but from reagents, glassware etc, can be determined by processing solvents and reagents in exactly the same manner as for samples.
<b>Duplicate</b>	This is the complete duplicate analysis of a sample from the process batch. If possible, the sample selected should be one where the analyte concentration is easily measurable.
<b>Matrix Spike</b>	A portion of the sample is spiked with a known concentration of target analyte. The purpose of the matrix spike is to monitor the performance of the analytical method used and to determine whether matrix interferences exist.
<b>LCS (Laboratory Control Sample)</b>	This comprises either a standard reference material or a control matrix (such as a blank sand or water) fortified with analytes representative of the analyte class. It is simply a check sample.
<b>Surrogate Spike</b>	Surrogates are known additions to each sample, blank, matrix spike and LCS in a batch, of compounds which are similar to the analyte of interest, however are not expected to be found in real samples.
Australian Drinking Water Guidelines recommend that Thermotolerant Coliform, Faecal Enterococci, & E.Coli levels are less than 1cfu/100mL. The recommended maximums are taken from "Australian Drinking Water Guidelines", published by NHMRC & ARMC 2011.	
The recommended maximums for analytes in urine are taken from "2018 TLVs and BEIs", as published by ACGIH (where available). Limit provided for Nickel is a precautionary guideline as per Position Paper prepared by AIOH Exposure Standards Committee, 2016.	
Guideline limits for Rinse Water Quality reported as per analytical requirements and specifications of AS 4187, Amdt 2 2019, Table 7.2	

## Laboratory Acceptance Criteria

Duplicate sample and matrix spike recoveries may not be reported on smaller jobs, however, were analysed at a frequency to meet or exceed NEPM requirements. All samples are tested in batches of 20. The duplicate sample RPD and matrix spike recoveries for the batch were within the laboratory acceptance criteria.

Filters, swabs, wipes, tubes and badges will not have duplicate data as the whole sample is generally extracted during sample extraction.

Spikes for Physical and Aggregate Tests are not applicable.

For VOCs in water samples, three vials are required for duplicate or spike analysis.

Duplicates: >10xPQL - RPD acceptance criteria will vary depending on the analytes and the analytical techniques but is typically in the range 20%-50% – see ELN-P05 QA/QC tables for details; <10xPQL - RPD are higher as the results approach PQL and the estimated measurement uncertainty will statistically increase.

Matrix Spikes, LCS and Surrogate recoveries: Generally 70-130% for inorganics/metals (not SPOCAS); 60-140% for organics/SPOCAS (+/-50% surrogates) and 10-140% for labile SVOCs (including labile surrogates), ultra trace organics and speciated phenols is acceptable.

In circumstances where no duplicate and/or sample spike has been reported at 1 in 10 and/or 1 in 20 samples respectively, the sample volume submitted was insufficient in order to satisfy laboratory QA/QC protocols.

When samples are received where certain analytes are outside of recommended technical holding times (THTs), the analysis has proceeded. Where analytes are on the verge of breaching THTs, every effort will be made to analyse within the THT or as soon as practicable.

Where sampling dates are not provided, Envirolab are not in a position to comment on the validity of the analysis where recommended technical holding times may have been breached.

Where matrix spike recoveries fall below the lower limit of the acceptance criteria (e.g. for non-labile or standard Organics <60%), positive result(s) in the parent sample will subsequently have a higher than typical estimated uncertainty (MU estimates supplied on request) and in these circumstances the sample result is likely biased significantly low.

Measurement Uncertainty estimates are available for most tests upon request.

Analysis of aqueous samples typically involves the extraction/digestion and/or analysis of the liquid phase only (i.e. NOT any settled sediment phase but inclusive of suspended particles if present), unless stipulated on the Envirolab COC and/or by correspondence. Notable exceptions include certain Physical Tests (pH/EC/BOD/COD/Apparent Colour etc.), Solids testing, total recoverable metals and PFAS where solids are included by default.

Samples for Microbiological analysis (not Amoeba forms) received outside of the 2-8°C temperature range do not meet the ideal cooling conditions as stated in AS2031-2012.

## Report Comments

TRH Soil C10-C40 NEPM - # Percent recovery for the surrogate is not possible to report as the high concentration of analytes in sample 308496-3,4 have caused interference.





## SAMPLE RECEIPT ADVICE

### Client Details

<b>Client</b>	P Clifton & Assoc
<b>Attention</b>	Phil Clifton

### Sample Login Details

<b>Your reference</b>	1N-4W
<b>Envirolab Reference</b>	308496
<b>Date Sample Received</b>	20/10/2022
<b>Date Instructions Received</b>	20/10/2022
<b>Date Results Expected to be Reported</b>	21/10/2022

### Sample Condition

<b>Samples received in appropriate condition for analysis</b>	Yes
<b>No. of Samples Provided</b>	4 Soil
<b>Turnaround Time Requested</b>	1 day
<b>Temperature on Receipt (°C)</b>	19
<b>Cooling Method</b>	Ice Pack
<b>Sampling Date Provided</b>	YES

### Comments

Nil

Please direct any queries to:

Aileen Hie	Jacinta Hurst
<b>Phone:</b> 02 9910 6200	<b>Phone:</b> 02 9910 6200
<b>Fax:</b> 02 9910 6201	<b>Fax:</b> 02 9910 6201
<b>Email:</b> ahie@envirolab.com.au	<b>Email:</b> jhurst@envirolab.com.au

*Analysis Underway, details on the following page:*



Sample ID	VTRH(C6-C10)/BTEXN in Soil	svTRH (C10-C40) in Soil	PAHs in Soil
1N-1.7m	✓	✓	✓
2E-1.7m	✓	✓	✓
3S-1.7m	✓	✓	✓
4W-1.7m	✓	✓	✓

The '✓' indicates the testing you have requested. **THIS IS NOT A REPORT OF THE RESULTS.**

### Additional Info

Sample storage - Waters are routinely disposed of approximately 1 month and soils approximately 2 months from receipt.

Requests for longer term sample storage must be received in writing.

Please contact the laboratory immediately if observed settled sediment present in water samples is to be included in the extraction and/or analysis (exceptions include certain Physical Tests (pH/EC/BOD/COD/Apparent Colour etc.), Solids testing, Total Recoverable metals and PFAS analysis where solids are included by default.

TAT for Micro is dependent on incubation. This varies from 3 to 6 days.